UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,027	08/02/2006	Juergen Schorn	028987.56655US	9212
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 01/28/200 TIA		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 980			PHILLIPS, FORREST M	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/588,027	SCHORN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	FORREST M. PHILLIPS	2837			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 At</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 August 2006 is/are:	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	o by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the order of the contraction is objected to by the Extension is contracted to by the Extension in the contraction is objected to by the Extension in the contraction is objected to by the Extension in the contraction is objected to by the Extension in the contraction in the contraction in the contraction is objected to by the Extension in the contraction i	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/02/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 7, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Marocco (US2004050618).

With respect to claim 7 Marocco discloses a double flow exhaust system for an internal combustion engine, comprising:

Exhaust carrying pipes (532a and 532b in figure 16), at least one muffler and catalyst housing, and a separate end muffler for each exhaust line (refer to figure 8 for illustration of the exhaust pathway, see separate end mufflers 528a and 528b in figure 16), wherein the separate end mufflers are fluidically connected by at least one mountable connection pipe (530 in figure 16).

With respect to claim 10 Marocco further discloses wherein a second connection pipe is provided between the separate end mufflers (refer to figure 16, connecting pipes 530).

Art Unit: 2837

With respect to claim 12 Marocco further discloses wherein a catalyst is provided for each exhaust line, and is partially integrated or received in an end muffler housing (see figure 17 for internal components, see figure 16 for other limitations, refer also to abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 8-9 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marocco (US2004050618) in view of DE 20115656 (herein after DE'656).

With respect to claims 8 and 13 Marocco discloses the double flow exhaust system according to claim 7.

Marocco fails to disclose wherein the connection pipe is a T-pipe piece on which connecting pieces are connectable with the separate end muffler and a third connecting piece is usable as a discharge for exhaust gases to outside the engine.

DE '656 discloses an double flow exhaust system (see figure 1) wherein a connection pipe is a T-pipe piece on which connecting pieces are connectable with separate mufflers and a third connecting piece is usable as a discharge for exhaust gases to outside the engine(inlets 12a and 12b in figure 1, single outlet at 20 in figure 1.

The mufflers are effectively separate given that the paths do not connect prior to the connecting pipe, and that the center is closed off form one to the other sides, refer to figure 3).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of DE '656 to have a single outlet following separate mufflers with the double flow exhaust system of Marocco.

The motivation for doing so would have been to allow for the balancing of exhaust pulses from the engine into the exhaust outlet to optimize the exhaust flow.

With respect to claims 9 and 14 Marocco as modified further discloses wherein a tail pipe cover is mountable on the third connecting pipe. As the third pipe as taught by DE '656 is a tail pipe, as it is after the mufflers, and is shown as a standard pipe, that is to say a circular pipe, a tail pipe cover would be mountable on the pipe, tail pipe covers are well known in the art.

With respect to claim 15 Marocco further discloses wherein a second connection pipe is provided between the separate end mufflers (refer to figure 16, connecting pipes 530).

3. Claims 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marocco (US2004050618) in view of DE 20115656 (herein after DE'656) as applied to claims 10 and 15 above, and further in view of Nording (US5907134).

With respect to claims 11 and 16 Marocco as modified discloses a double flow exhaust system according to claims 10 and 15 wherein the second connecting pipe has

connecting pieces connected with a respective housing of the separate end mufflers (refer to the fact that the pipe 530 is connected to each housing).

Marocco as modified fails to disclose wherein the ends of the connecting pieces are aligned and coverable by a joint sliding sleeve.

Nording discloses, an exhaust pipe, comprising connecting pieces that are aligned and coverable by a joint sliding sleeve (16 in figure 1).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Nording to use a joint sliding sleeve connection with the second connecting pipe of Marocco as modified to provide a sealed joint that allows for thermal expansion and misalignment.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Refer to form 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FORREST M. PHILLIPS whose telephone number is (571)272-9020. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Walter Benson can be reached on 5712722227. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,027 Page 6

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/F. M. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 2837

> /Jeffrey Donels/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2837